Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. Welcome, Mr.

Secretary. It is good to see you again.

I am curious about what has changed and what

happened since the optimistic 1994 Agreed Framework. It seemed

as though we were cooperating and there was a thaw in our relationship.

Even in 1999, I believe President Clinton agreed to lift

some sanctions. He said they were ‘‘cheating.’’ As we look back,

what went wrong? What could we have done better, as now we see

a very difficult situation with nuclear weapons there and the grave

threat of proliferation? As we look back, what could we have done

different? It seemed as though everything was so optimistic for

awhile, even as recently as 1999, as I said, with the lifting of sanctions.

And then, consequently, as we look ahead, and

assuming we will be negotiating future agreements with other

countries, with the possibility they might be cheating also, trying

to achieve what you just mentioned, both the economic benefits and

what is forbidden by the agreement, what—you said, in February

2000, I believe was the first sign of noncompliance. Looking back

but also looking ahead, what do we do when we find cheating?

What is the proper pressure to try and have a cooperative relationship

where both sides can achieve their aims?

And, last, you mentioned some that are cooperating—

Brazil and others. Are there any countries out there that we

fear might be developing nuclear programs that are hostile?

And any advice on how we deal with that?

What are we doing to prevent a North Korea?

Thank you, Mr. Secretary.

I want to apologize for having some conflicts,

but I happened to be back to hear the tail-end in the ninth inning.